gV/

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	cation No. Applicant(s)	
	10/500,204	WINTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathvanaravan Pannala	2164	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperall claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RID of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 1. This communication is responsive to 8/15/2007. 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-4, 13 and renumbered as 1-5 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Certified copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have 4.	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communication (GHTS). This application is subject and MPEP 1308. The definition of the communication of	pplication. If not included on will be mailed in due course. THI to withdrawal from issue at the initi	iative
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit	IENT of this application.		
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	es reason(s) why the oath or declar		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers		9-948) attached	
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the	Office action of	,
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the			
 DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT I 	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGIC	must be submitted. Note the CAL MATERIAL.	
		•	
Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal	Patent Application	
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ⊠ Interview Summar Paper No./Mail Da		
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 	7. 🛛 Examiner's Amend		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. Examiner's Statem 9. Other	sent of Reasons for Allowance Sathyanarayan Pannala	
		Primary Examiner	

Application/Control Number: 10/500,204 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Amendment filed on 6/28/207 has been entered with amended claims
 1-4, 13 and cancelled claim 12. In this Office Action, claims 1-4 and 13 are pending.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

2. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Mr. Joel M. Fogelson on 11/8/2007.

Claims: Replace amended on record claim 1 with the following:

- 1. (Currently amended) Computer-implemented method Method for automatic detection of data types for data type dependent processing by a technical device, comprising the steps of:
 - a) receiving a data file,
- b) analyzing said received data file to determine whether the format of said received data file can be detected,

Application/Control Number: 10/500,204 Page 3

Art Unit: 2164

c) after detecting the format of the received data file, using said detected format for evaluating a whether said data type of each of at least two data items of different data type wherein the at least two data items are comprised in said received data file and are either of:

an essence data type being defined to be either data which is interpretable by a device as a link pointing to reference data but with no data referring to said link or data which the device is unable to interpret as a link,

a metadata subtype being defined as data interpretable by the device as a link pointing to reference data and any essence data referring to said link or of

a container data type containing at least an essence data item and another data item of any data type,

- d) evaluating for each of the at least two data items whether the device is able to interpret the respective essence data for reproducing a physical representation of the data not interpretable as a link or the linked reference data being said respective essence data so as to indicate that the corresponding data item is either of a physical data type, if the device is able to interpret the respective essence data, or of an abstract data type, and
- e) supplying the result of said evaluations to the device for data type dependent processing of each of said at least two data items.

Reasons for allowance

3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Art Unit: 2164

- Prior art of record does not appear to teach or suggest or render obvious
 the claimed limitations in combination with the specific added limitations
 as recited in independent claim 1. The prior art of record fails to teach or
 suggest in combination of claimed elements including "evaluating for the
 device the data type dependent processing of each of said at least two
 data items."
- Guck (US Patent 5,864,870) teach a computer-implemented method for determining the type and content of incoming files, transforming such files into objects, and storing them in an object database for later retrieval, which database is part of a specialized server coupled to a network. Whereas Esquibel et al. (US Patent 6,662,186) teaches a method for propagating data saved in one file format to another file format. The invention may be conceptualized as a system located on a computer for propagating data saved in one file format to another file format, comprising a first data file saved in a first file format and a software module associated with the first data file.
- Applicant argued as "Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Guck neither discloses nor suggests "supplying the result of said evaluations to the device for data type dependent processing of each of said at least two data items" as recited in claim 1 of the present invention" (see page 7, paragraph 2). Applicant also argued as "Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that Esquibel et al., similar to Guck, neither disclose nor

Art Unit: 2164

suggest "after detecting the format of the received data file, using said detected format for evaluating a data type of each of at least two data items of different data type" as recited in claim 1 of the present invention" (see page 8, paragraph one). These and other arguments are persuasive and valid.

4. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (571) 272-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,204

Art Unit: 2164

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sathyanarayan Pannala

Primary Examiner

srp

November 8, 2007